

# Sorting Algorithms

# Sorting

## Sorting

**Input:** A list of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

Example:

**Given:** 4, 907, 34, 18, 42, 36, 71, 34, 16

**Return:** 4, 16, 18, 34, 34, 36, 42, 71, 907

# Selection Sort - Review from 102

## Sorting

**Input:** A list of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

---

SelectionSort( $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$ )

---

**Input:** A list of unsorted numbers  $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$

**Output:** The same list sorted in increasing order

1: **for**  $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$  **do**

2:     Find min of  $A[i, \dots, n - 1]$ .

3:     Suppose that the min occurs at position  $j$ .

4:     Swap  $A[i]$  with  $A[j]$ .

---

# Selection Sort - Analysis

- Is it correct?

## Lemma

*Upon completion of SelectionSort, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,  $A[i - 1] \leq A[i]$ .*

- Running Time:
  - $T(n) = T(n - 1) + O(n) = O(n^2)$

# Bubble Sort

## Sorting

**Input:** A list of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

---

`BubbleSort( $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$ )`

---

**Input:** A list of unsorted numbers  $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$

**Output:** The same list sorted in increasing order

```
1: for  $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$  do
2:   for  $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$  do
3:     if  $A[j] > A[j + 1]$  then
4:       Swap  $A[j]$  and  $A[j + 1]$ 
```

---

# Bubble Sort - Analysis

- Is it correct?

## Lemma

*Upon completion of BubbleSort, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,  $A[i - 1] \leq A[i]$ .*

- Running Time:
  - There are two for loops, each of size  $n$ .
  - Step 4 is constant time.
  - Therefore, the running time is  $O(n^2)$ .

How does BubbleSort perform on already sorted lists?

# Insertion Sort

## Sorting

**Input:** A list of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

---

InsertionSort( $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$ )

---

**Input:** A list of unsorted numbers  $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$

**Output:** The same list sorted in increasing order

```
1: for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  do
2:    $j = i$ 
3:   while  $j > 0$  and  $A[j - 1] > A[j]$  do
4:     Swap  $A[j]$  and  $A[j - 1]$ 
5:    $j = j - 1$ 
```

---

# Insertion Sort - Analysis

- Is it correct?

## Lemma

*Upon completion of InsertionSort, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $A[i-1] \leq A[i]$ .*

- Running Time:
  - There is one for loop of size  $n$ .
  - At most the while loop will perform  $n$  swaps.
  - Therefore, the running time is  $O(n^2)$ .

# Selection Sort vs Bubble Sort vs Insertion Sort

The three algorithms are asymptotically equivalent.

- However, in practice InsertionSort is much faster than the others.

Which algorithms are *online* - can sort lists as they receive them?

- SelectionSort requires the whole input at the beginning.
- InsertionSort is online.
- What about BubbleSort?

# Divide and Conquer

Divide and Conquer is a strategy that solves a problem by:

- 1 Breaking the problem into subproblems that are themselves smaller instances of the same type of problem.
- 2 Recursively solving these subproblems.
- 3 Appropriately combining their answers.

# Merge Sort

## Sorting

**Input:** A list of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

---

`MergeSort( $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$ )`

---

**Input:** A list of unsorted numbers  $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$

**Output:** The same list, sorted in increasing order

1: **if**  $n \leq 1$  **then**

**return**  $A$

2: **else**

**return** `Merge(MergeSort( $A[0, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor]$ ), MergeSort( $A[\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1, \dots, n - 1]$ )))`

---

# Merge Sort

## Sorting

**Input:** A sequence of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

---

Merge( $x[0, \dots, k - 1], y[0, \dots, \ell - 1]$ )

---

**Input:** Two sorted lists,  $x[0, \dots, k - 1]$  and  $y[0, \dots, \ell - 1]$

**Output:** One sorted list that contains all elements of both lists.

- 1: **if**  $x = \emptyset$  **then return**  $y$
- 2: **if**  $y = \emptyset$  **then return**  $x$
- 3: **if**  $x[0] \leq y[0]$  **then**  
    **return**  $x[0] \circ \text{Merge}(x[1, \dots, k - 1], y[0, \dots, \ell - 1])$
- 4: **else**  
    **return**  $y[0] \circ \text{Merge}(x[0, \dots, k - 1], y[1, \dots, \ell - 1])$

---

# Merge Sort - Correctness

## Theorem

Merge correctly merges two sorted lists.

## Proof.

We will proceed by induction on the total size of the lists being merged. (We will prove that Merge correctly merges two sorted lists of total size  $n$ .)

- Base Case: ( $n = 1$ )  
This will only occur if either  $x$  or  $y$  is empty, and the other list has exactly 1 element.
  - Merge correctly merges the empty list with any other sorted list.
- Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose that Merge correctly merges two sorted lists of total size equal to  $n$ .

# Merge Sort - Correctness

## Theorem

Merge *correctly merges two sorted lists.*

## Proof (Cont.)

- Inductive Step: Consider two sorted lists with total size  $n + 1$ .
  - In steps 3 and 4 of the algorithm, Merge correctly places the smallest element at the beginning of the list.
  - Merge then concatenates that element with the Merge of the remaining elements of the two lists.
    - The total size of the remaining two lists is  $n$ .
    - By the Inductive Hypothesis, Merge correctly merges the remainder.
- Conclusion: Therefore, by PMI, Merge correctly merges two sorted lists.



## Merge Sort - Running Time

- What is the running time?
  - $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n) = O(n \log n)$

# Quick Sort

## Sorting

**Input:** A list of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

QuickSort is also a divide and conquer algorithm.

Idea:

- Pick a “pivot point”.
  - ▣ Picking a good pivot point can greatly affect the running time.
- Break the list into two lists:
  - ▣ Those elements less than the pivot element.
  - ▣ Those elements greater than the pivot element.
- Recursively sort each of the smaller lists.
- Make one big list: the ‘smallers’ list, the pivot points, and the ‘bigger’ list.

# Quick Sort

## Sorting

**Input:** A list of numbers,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ .

**Goal:** Return a list of the same numbers sorted in increasing order.

---

QuickSort( $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$ ,  $low$ ,  $high$ )

---

**Input:** A list of unsorted numbers  $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$ , two integers  $high$  and  $low$

**Output:** The same list sorted in increasing order

- 1: **if**  $low < high$  **then**
- 2:      $pivotLocation = \text{Partition}(A, low, high)$
- 3:     QuickSort( $A, low, pivotLocation$ )
- 4:     QuickSort( $A, pivotLocation + 1, high$ )

---

## Quick Sort - Partition

---

Partition( $A, low, high$ )

---

**Input:** A list of unsorted numbers  $A[0, \dots, n - 1]$ , two integers  $high$  and  $low$

**Output:** An integer (the pivot location) and a list partitioned about the pivot.

```
1: pivot =  $A[low]$ 
2: leftwall =  $low$ 
3: for  $i = low + 1, \dots, high$  do
4:   if  $A[i] < pivot$  then
5:     Swap  $A[i]$  and  $A[leftwall]$ 
6:     leftwall = leftwall + 1
7: Swap  $A[low]$  with  $A[leftwall]$ 
return leftwall
```

---

# Quick Sort - Running Time

Average case analysis:

- $O(n \log n)$

Worst case analysis:

- $O(n^2)$